UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISS/IONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,291	01/22/2001	Joe W. Gray	02307O-175400US	9405
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			MORAN, MARJORIE A	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/27/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/765,291	GRAY ET AL.
Examiner innuced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marjorie Moran	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application: after BPAI decision	
(1) <u>Marjorie Moran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jean Lockyer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 May 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	nulicant/Applicant's Domes	ivo Signatura if annumista
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive signature – ir appropriate)

Application No. 09/765,291

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that claims 127, 128, 130-134, 136-142, and 146-149 were allowable, but that claims 150 and 151 were directed to nonelected subject matter and would require further search and consideration. The examiner suggested cancelling claims 150 and 151 so the application could go to allowance. The attorney did not authorize an examiner's amendment.